

# Journal Pre-proof

Recommendations to Enhance Telemedicine in Nursing Homes in the Age of COVID-19

James H. Ford, II, PhD, Sally A. Jolles, MA, Dee Heller, RN, NHA, Madeline Langenstroer, BS, Christopher Crnich, MD, PhD



PII: S1525-8610(21)00904-X

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2021.10.002>

Reference: JMDA 4136

To appear in: *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*

Received Date: 1 October 2021

Accepted Date: 8 October 2021

Please cite this article as: Ford II JH, Jolles SA, Heller D, Langenstroer M, Crnich C, Recommendations to Enhance Telemedicine in Nursing Homes in the Age of COVID-19, *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* (2021), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2021.10.002>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of AMDA -- The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine.

## **Recommendations to Enhance Telemedicine in Nursing Homes in the Age of COVID-19**

James H Ford II, PhD<sup>1‡</sup>, Sally A Jolles, MA<sup>2</sup>, Dee Heller, RN, NHA<sup>2</sup>, Madeline Langenstroer, BS<sup>2</sup>, Christopher Crnich, MD, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> University of Wisconsin School of Pharmacy, Social & Administrative Sciences Division, Madison, WI

<sup>2</sup> University of Wisconsin School of Medicine & Public Health, Madison, WI

<sup>3</sup> William S. Middleton VA Hospital, Madison, WI

### **Address for correspondence:**

‡Corresponding author: James H Ford II, PhD

University of Wisconsin School of Pharmacy, Social & Administrative Sciences Division, 777 Highland Ave. Madison, WI 53705

E-mail: [jhfordii@wisc.edu](mailto:jhfordii@wisc.edu)

**Running Title:** Telemedicine in nursing homes during COVID-19

**Key Words:** Telemedicine; Organizational Enhancements; Nursing Homes; SEIPs model; Mixed Methods; Providers; Nursing Home Staff

**Funding Source:** This work was supported by a grant (WPP 4358) from the Wisconsin Partnership Program (Collaborative Health Sciences Program) through the UW School of Medicine and Public Health.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors report no conflicts of interest

**Manuscript:** 750

**Tables and Figures:** 1

**References:** 8

1 Nursing homes (NHs) have been at the frontline of the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>1,2</sup>  
2 Despite representing <1% of the U.S. population, NH residents account for nearly 33%  
3 of all COVID-19 deaths.<sup>3</sup> The Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services implemented  
4 sweeping telemedicine (TM) regulatory relief in an effort to reduce COVID-19 spread in  
5 NHs. Telemedicine activity in U.S. NHs has expanded dramatically<sup>4</sup> but has not been  
6 without its challenges. Herein, we report 12 recommendations to enhance and sustain  
7 TM (Table 1) from a TM adoption study, certified as quality improvement by the UW-  
8 Madison Health Sciences IRB.

9 A convenience sample of NH (n=9) in South Central Wisconsin were recruited based  
10 on geography (rural vs. urban), ownership and profit status. Each NH had newly  
11 adopted or significantly expanded TM during the COVID-19 pandemic. Key informants  
12 (n=27) involved in the structure and conduct of TM encounters were interviewed or  
13 surveyed including NH staff, long-term care advanced practice providers (APPs), and  
14 regional healthcare sub-specialist providers.

15 Study participants identified five technology enhancement needs, including: 1)  
16 improvements to connectivity and bandwidth; 2) an increased supply of TM devices; 3)  
17 availability of sound amplification devices; 4) availability of telehealth-ready  
18 stethoscopes, and 5) enhancements to video quality. Internet connectivity and  
19 bandwidth as well as TM device availability improved in all participating NHs although  
20 technology bottlenecks were still a problem in several facilities. The volume capabilities  
21 of the TM devices employed in NHs was often inadequate and participants identified  
22 secondary sound amplification devices as a critical need for encounters with hearing-  
23 impaired residents. Although many TM encounters did not require a heart or lung exam,

24 APP participants noted having a telehealth-ready stethoscope available would further  
25 alleviate the need for face-to-face encounters when encountered with a scheduling  
26 conflict or a facility outbreak. Some respondents noted the video quality on existing TM  
27 devices was inadequate for performing skin and wound assessments and expressed a  
28 desire for high-resolution camera/video devices in residents with these issues.

29 Study participants identified three scheduling enhancement needs, including: 1)  
30 availability of a common scheduling system; 2) centralization of NH scheduling  
31 responsibilities; and 3) development of blocked TM scheduling. Successfully scheduling  
32 a TM encounter requires coordinating the provider, NH staff and resident schedules and  
33 ensuring availability of TM equipment. A common scheduling system that was used and  
34 viewable by all the participants could potentially reduce the frequency of calls and  
35 rescheduled appointments. In lieu of a technology fix, participants noted significant  
36 scheduling efficiencies could be achieved by centralizing scheduling related tasks to a  
37 limited number of trained individuals who were given sufficient time to complete this  
38 work. Participants also noted that scheduling activities was improved by developing  
39 fixed times during which providers were allowed to conduct their TM encounters. While  
40 this enhancement has the potential to conflict with provider schedules, blocked  
41 scheduling greatly reduces NH workflow disruptions, and most facilities were able to  
42 negotiate blocks of time that were mutually acceptable to their providers.

43 Deficiencies in information exchange was identified as a common problem area by  
44 study participants and has been reported by others.<sup>5</sup> Giving providers and their clinic  
45 staff remote access to NH electronic health record would facilitate TM encounter  
46 preparation and pre-charting activities. Establishing standard procedures for information

47 exchange that include the type and quality of information that should be collected, how it  
48 is shared and who is responsible for these tasks was also identified as a critical need by  
49 study participants.

50 The individual facilitating the TM encounter was another problem area identified by  
51 study participants. While non-clinical staff were capable of participating in scheduling  
52 and set up of equipment, TM encounters facilitated by these individuals were limited by  
53 poorer information exchange and reduced capacity to conduct key aspects of the  
54 physical exam. Centralizing TM encounter facilitation to a limited number of trained  
55 clinical staff enhanced inter-professional rapport and improved overall quality and  
56 efficiency of these encounters.

57 Implementing these twelve recommendations come with costs that must be offset if  
58 TM is to be sustained. Gillespie et al. have previously argued that existing TM  
59 regulatory waivers implemented in response to COVID-19 must be made permanent.<sup>6</sup>  
60 Provider and NH reimbursement models will also need to be modified in order to  
61 correctly incentivize provider use of the TM modality and provide facilities with the  
62 resources to purchase and maintain TM equipment as well as hire and retain staff  
63 responsible for critical TM tasks. While navigating this path forward will not be easy, the  
64 potential benefits of sustaining the current TM expansion<sup>7,8</sup> are too great to go back to  
65 the pre-COVID status quo.

**TABLE 1: Enhancements needed to make nursing home telemedicine encounters easier and more effective****Equipment and Infrastructure**

1. NHs should invest in the infrastructure necessary to support telemedicine encounters through improved connectivity and bandwidth
2. NHs should invest in dedicated and adequate/appropriate equipment to conduct telemedicine encounters (e.g., laptop or tablet)
3. NHs should have ready access to secondary sound amplification devices to use during telemedicine encounters with hearing-impaired residents
4. NHs should have ready access to a telehealth-enabled stethoscope that allows providers to remotely perform a heart and/or lung exam when necessary
5. NHs should have access to high-resolution video or camera equipment that enhances remote assessment of skin and wound findings

**Scheduling**

1. NHs should develop or invest in a common platform that allows key individuals to schedule telemedicine encounters
2. NHs should centralize scheduling of telemedicine encounters to a core individual(s)
3. NHs should adopt telemedicine block schedules that factor in sufficient time before and after encounters for inter-professional information exchange and care-planning

**Information Exchange**

1. NHs should provide clinicians and their staff with remote access to NH electronic health records
2. NHs and providers that engage in TM encounters should develop and implement procedures and staff training that standardize: 1) the types of information shared between NH staff and providers; 2) how these types of information should be shared; and 3) who is responsible for these information sharing tasks

**Telemedicine Encounter Facilitator**

1. NHs should identify and dedicate staff to facilitate telemedicine encounters
2. The telemedicine encounter facilitator should be a clinician (i.e., RN or LPN)

## References

1. Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, et al. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections and Transmission in a Skilled Nursing Facility. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(22):2081-2090. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2008457
2. McMichael TM, Clark S, Pogosjans S, et al. COVID-19 in a Long-Term Care Facility — King County, Washington, February 27–March 9, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(12):339-342. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6912e1
3. Shen K, Loomer L, Abrams H, Grabowski DC, Gandhi A. Estimates of COVID-19 Cases and Deaths Among Nursing Home Residents Not Reported in Federal Data. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(9):e2122885. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.22885
4. Alexander GL, Powell KR, Deroche CB. An evaluation of telehealth expansion in U.S. nursing homes. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2021;28(2):342-348. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa253
5. Jen SP, Bui A, Leonard SD. Maximizing Efficiency of Telemedicine in the Skilled Nursing Facility during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2021;22(6):1146-1148.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2021.04.009
6. Gillespie SM, Handler SM, Bardakh A. Innovation Through Regulation: COVID-19 and the Evolving Utility of Telemedicine. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2020;21(8):1007-1009. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2020.06.054
7. Gillespie SM, Moser AL, Gokula M, et al. Standards for the Use of Telemedicine for Evaluation and Management of Resident Change of Condition in the Nursing Home. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2019;20(2):115-122. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2018.11.022
8. Groom LL, McCarthy MM, Stimpfel AW, Brody AA. Telemedicine and Telehealth in Nursing Homes: An Integrative Review. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2021;0(0). doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2021.02.037